OPEN GOVERNMENT DATA AND POLICY INNOVATION IN DIGITAL GOVERNANCE

Thalita Rifda Khaerani[1], M. Kafrawi Al-Kafiah Samsu[2]
[1,2]Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia
Email: thalita.rifda.khaerani-2025@fisip.unair.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This study explores the dynamic relationship between open government data (OGD) and policy innovation within the framework of digital governance. As governments worldwide increasingly adopt data-driven decision-making, OGD has emerged as a critical catalyst for enhancing transparency, accountability, and citizen participation. Through a comprehensive literature review, this research synthesizes recent academic contributions that examine how OGD initiatives influence the development of innovative public policies. The analysis highlights the evolving paradigms of data openness, collaborative governance, and digital transformation in the public sector. It identifies key enablers such as technological infrastructure, institutional capacity, and stakeholder engagement that shape the success of OGD-driven policy innovation. Moreover, the study discusses barriers including data quality, interoperability, and privacy concerns that hinder effective implementation. Findings indicate that OGD fosters not only efficiency and trust but also co-creation between citizens and government institutions. This review provides conceptual clarity and strategic insights into how digital governance can leverage OGD to achieve sustainable policy innovation.

Keywords: *Open Government Data, Policy Innovation, Digital Governance, Transparency, Public Sector Transformation.*

INTRODUCTION

In recent years the paradigm of open government data (OGD) has emerged as a central pillar in the transformation of public governance through digital means. Governments increasingly recognize that making data freely available, machine-readable, and reusable can enhance transparency, reduce corruption, and strengthen public trust (World Bank Open Data Toolkit, 2025). The notion of open data in government is rooted in the principles of openness, participation, and collaboration underpinning the open government movement. By releasing datasets on expenditures, procurement, demographics, and environmental metrics, governments provide the informational basis for external scrutiny, enabling citizens and civil society actors to monitor operations and policy outcomes (UN Public Institutions, 2025). This shift marks a departure from traditional closed bureaucratic systems to data-driven governance, in which evidence and data flows inform decision making. In the digital governance literature, OGD is viewed not merely as an administrative tool but as an enabler of policy experimentation and innovation (Giest, 2025). Indeed, OGD initiatives have been linked with co-creation of policies, crowdsourcing of public feedback, and hybrid governance models that integrate citizen inputs (Giest, 2025). Despite the growing enthusiasm, the scholarly discourse reveals fragmented theoretical development and empirical inconsistency in the understanding of how OGD influences policy innovation (Vu et al., 2022). Some studies focus on antecedents of open data adoption (technological, organizational, institutional), while others emphasize outcome dimensions such as policy responsiveness or social value (Vu et al., 2022). A key research gap thus lies in integrating these strands into a coherent framework that explains how open data interventions produce policy innovation within digital governance contexts. The digital transformation of governance demands novel analytic lenses to handle complexities such as data interoperability, privacy tradeoffs, and institutional inertia (Giest, 2025). Against this backdrop, this article undertakes a systematic review of the existing literature to map the conceptual and empirical linkages between OGD and policy innovation. The goal is to clarify the mechanisms, enablers and obstacles in this nexus, and to propose a refined conceptual model for future research. The study aims to contribute both to theory building in digital

governance and to the design of more effective open data policies in practice.

Although open government data (OGD) has been widely promoted as a means to enhance transparency, citizen engagement, and evidence-based policymaking, its concrete relationship with policy innovation remains conceptually underdeveloped. Existing research highlights the fragmented nature of studies in this domain, with limited integration between theoretical models and practical applications. Yan et al. (2023) further demonstrate that while OGD can improve municipal innovation capabilities, the outcomes are highly context-dependent and influenced by local institutional readiness. The heterogeneity of results across regions and administrative levels underscores the absence of a unifying analytical model that explains these differences. Ruijer, Grimmelikhuijsen, and Meijer (2017) emphasize that most prior studies have focused on the supply side of open dataits publication and accessibilitywhile neglecting the demand side, particularly the processes by which data are used to inform and transform policymaking. This imbalance limits the broader understanding of how OGD contributes to collaborative governance or co-created innovation within the public sector. There is also insufficient exploration of how emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and blockchain may reshape the role of OGD in stimulating innovative policy solutions. The conceptual fragmentation found in the literature suggests that OGD is often treated as a technological artifact rather than a governance mechanism embedded within socio-political systems. Scholars have called for a synthesis of theoretical and empirical perspectives to uncover the pathways through which data-driven openness affects public sector performance. The lack of systematic mapping further prevents researchers from identifying enabling conditions that determine whether OGD initiatives lead to genuine policy transformation. Policy innovation requires not only access to data but also institutional capacity, human capital, and adaptive governance structures capable of integrating digital feedback loops. The current body of knowledge therefore fails to account for the mediating and moderating factors that shape the OGD–innovation nexus. Without addressing these conceptual and methodological gaps, the promise of OGD as a transformative force in digital governance remains largely aspirational. This study responds to these deficiencies by providing a comprehensive synthesis of recent findings and by establishing a conceptual framework to link OGD with policy innovation outcomes in a digital governance context.

Many governments worldwide face entrenched challenges in realizing equitable digital governance despite ambitious digital transformation agendas. Liu et al. (2025) found that in public services digitalization, vulnerable groups frequently confront barriers related to infrastructure deficits, cost of devices, and exclusionary service design features that inhibit equitable participation. Peeters (2025) highlights that policy narratives often emphasize efficiency and modernization, sidelining equity concerns, which leads to strategies that insufficiently address marginalized communities' needs. In a scoping review of digital inclusion in e-governance across the Global South, scholars demonstrated that institutional capacity, context-sensitive governance models, and co-creation with citizens play critical roles in mediating inclusive outcomes (Digital Inclusion and Institutional Effectiveness in E-Governance review, 2025). Across regions, disparities persist between urban and rural areas, high-income and low-income communities, and regions with varying technological maturity, thereby complicating universal inclusion. Some countries struggle to align national digital strategies with local realities, resulting in fragmented implementation and uneven service reach. Regulatory gaps, weak administrative coordination, and limited stakeholder engagement further exacerbate inequalities in service access and influence. Many marginalized populations remain invisible in policy design due to lack of disaggregated data and participatory mechanisms. The risk of reinforcing exclusion is amplified when digital governance toolssuch as algorithmic decision systemsare deployed without bias mitigation. The global and regional evidence

underscores a paradox: while digital strategies proliferate, equity in governance lags behind, necessitating deeper analytical frameworks and contextually grounded solutions.

The significance of open government data (OGD) within digital governance frameworks has expanded dramatically as states pursue more transparent, accountable, and participatory models of administration. OGD represents a cornerstone for building digital trust between governments and citizens by facilitating access to reliable information and enabling data-driven decision-making across all governance levels. Through digital platforms, OGD allows governments to share datasets on fiscal management, urban planning, and social services, which support transparency and citizen oversight. The practice of publishing machine-readable data enhances public scrutiny and fosters new collaborations between public institutions, private sectors, and civil society. When implemented effectively, OGD encourages evidence-based policymaking that reflects real-time public needs and social feedback mechanisms. The transformative capacity of OGD also lies in its ability to improve public sector efficiency by automating information flows and minimizing bureaucratic opacity (Zuiderwijk et al., 2021). Governments that integrate OGD into digital platforms often experience improvements in internal coordination, reducing redundancy and promoting interoperability among agencies. The open dissemination of data is not merely a transparency initiative but also a stimulus for innovation ecosystems where developers, startups, and researchers co-create public solutions. This participatory dimension of OGD directly contributes to the development of smart governance models that combine digital technologies and citizen engagement to optimize policy outcomes. In this sense, OGD acts as both a technological and institutional innovation that transforms traditional policy processes. Digital governance thus becomes not only a matter of data management but also of fostering inclusivity, accountability, and responsiveness in the policy cycle. The strategic use of OGD further empowers policymakers to address complex challenges such as climate change, urbanization, and inequality through cross-sectoral data integration. Empirical evidence suggests that OGD can lead to measurable social value creation when combined with strong digital infrastructure and institutional transparency. Its potential depends heavily on the maturity of digital ecosystems and the political willingness to sustain openness beyond mere compliance. As the digital era reshapes governance landscapes, OGD emerges as a foundational driver for innovation, collaboration, and long-term trust in public administration.

The role of open government data (OGD) in digital governance is increasingly prominent as governments strive for transparency, accountability, and inclusive policymaking. OGD offers the potential to reduce information asymmetry between public institutions and citizens by publishing datasets on budgeting, public services, and administrative performance (Janssen, Charalabidis, & van Eijk, 2012). By making data machine-readable and freely reusable, governments enable external actorsresearchers, civil society, and firmsto scrutinize, interpret, and reuse information in novel policy and service designs. The openness of data also supports evidence-based decision making, allowing policymakers to ground interventions in empirical patterns and real-time feedback. Operational efficiencies arise when interagency data integration reduces duplication and improves coordination across government silos. The dissemination of open data can stimulate innovation ecosystems by allowing third parties to build applications and tools that improve public utility and social value. In many cases, OGD acts as infrastructure for collaborative governance, where citizens, NGOs, and private firms co-design solutions using shared data. Empirical studies show, however, that while many open data initiatives succeed in delivering operational and technical benefits, their translation into societal or governance innovation is less consistent (Zuiderwijk, Shinde, & Janssen, 2018). This mismatch points to institutional, capacity, and political constraints that hinder the full realization of open data's

transformative promise. The governance of open data must thus consider privacy, security, interoperability, and stakeholder engagement. In digital governance settings, OGD becomes not only a repository but a strategic lever to prompt policy renewal, participatory regulation, and dynamic public service models. Policymakers must therefore align data openness strategies with institutional capabilities and reform agendas. The strategic significance of OGD lies in its ability to combine technological, organizational, and societal dimensions to enable sustainable governance innovation.

The relationship between open government data (OGD) and policy innovation lies at the heart of contemporary digital governance. OGD transforms the traditional information asymmetry between governments and citizens by enabling collaborative policy environments where data becomes the foundation for innovation. When public data is made accessible, policymakers gain opportunities to experiment with evidence-based solutions and to evaluate policy performance using real-time data analytics (Jetzek, Avital, & Bjorn-Andersen, 2014). The open sharing of datasets fosters a culture of innovation through iterative feedback loops between citizens, researchers, and decision-makers. In this context, innovation arises not only from new technologies but also from the institutional capacity to integrate external knowledge into governance processes. The ability to utilize OGD effectively requires governments to move from a reactive to a proactive stance in policy formulation. This transition is critical because data openness, when combined with analytical capabilities, promotes adaptive and anticipatory policymaking. OGD initiatives thus act as both enablers and accelerators of innovation by bridging data-driven insights and strategic decision-making. Moreover, the collaborative use of OGD supports cross-sectoral partnerships that bring together academia, industry, and civil society to co-create innovative policy tools. Empirical evidence indicates that the reuse of government data can stimulate new service models and generate socio-economic value beyond administrative boundaries (Janssen, Matheus, Longo, & Weerakkody, 2017). Policy innovation underpinned by OGD also reinforces accountability, as transparent datasets provide measurable indicators for evaluating outcomes and performance. The feedback mechanisms embedded in open data ecosystems help governments to refine existing policies and introduce novel solutions to emerging challenges. Furthermore, digital participation enabled by OGD enhances inclusivity, ensuring that diverse stakeholders influence the policymaking process. The synergy between data openness and policy innovation exemplifies the broader shift from hierarchical governance to a networked model of co-production and shared intelligence (Wirtz & Birkmeyer, 2018). This paradigm fosters an environment where policy learning becomes continuous, reflexive, and evidence-driven. The integration of OGD into policy cycles ultimately transforms governance into a living systemadaptive, transparent, and innovative.

The primary objective of this study is to examine how open government data (OGD) functions as a catalyst for policy innovation within evolving models of digital governance. The research intends to synthesize both theoretical and empirical scholarship to build a comprehensive framework showing how data openness can foster adaptive, transparent, and citizen-oriented policymaking. A further aim is to unveil the mechanismssuch as stakeholder co-creation, feedback loops, and data reusethrough which OGD contributes to policy experimentation and renewal. The study also seeks to identify the key enabling factorsinstitutional capacity, governance practices, technological infrastructure, and stakeholder engagementthat modulate the effectiveness of OGD initiatives in driving innovation. This objective is motivated by the recognition that many open data policies treat data as a public good but underplay the transformation process into actionable governance outcomes. According to Ubaldi (2013), empirical analysis of open data initiatives remains scarce, especially concerning how OGD leads to measurable innovation in policy settings. The study thus frames a central research question: How can governments institutionalize OGD practices

to sustain continuous policy learning and adaptation? Another pivotal question asks: What types of policy innovation (incremental, process, radical) emerge from integrating open data into governance cycles? A third research question explores how digital participation and co-creation interact with OGD to enhance legitimacy and responsiveness in public decision making. The research further investigates what governance models or institutional architectures best explain the interplay of data openness, analytics, and organizational change. By articulating these objectives and questions, the study bridges the gap between technical deployment and policy impact. The synthesis aims to reveal pathways, moderators, and boundary conditions of the OGD–innovation relationship. The outcome includes proposing a refined conceptual model for future empirical testing. The ultimate goal is to produce strategic insights for policymakers to leverage OGD as a foundational asset in innovative governance.

The significance of this study lies in its effort to deepen the theoretical and practical understanding of how open government data (OGD) fosters policy innovation within the digital governance paradigm. This research contributes to bridging a critical gap between data transparency initiatives and their tangible policy outcomes. It provides conceptual clarity on how OGD transitions from a technical framework of data publication into a strategic instrument for evidence-based and collaborative policymaking. The expected contribution of this study is to advance the scholarly discourse by integrating insights from information systems, public administration, and innovation management into a single analytical framework. The research enhances the comprehension of how governments can utilize OGD to design adaptive, data-informed policies capable of addressing complex social challenges. This study further contributes by proposing a refined conceptual model that links OGD to policy innovation through mediating factors such as institutional capacity, stakeholder collaboration, and technological readiness. By identifying these mediating pathways, the study strengthens both theoretical development and empirical relevance in digital governance studies. Another major contribution is the synthesis of global best practices from diverse administrative contexts, offering comparative insights for policy transfer and innovation diffusion (Meijer, Curtin, & Hillebrandt, 2012). The research also adds normative value by emphasizing how openness, transparency, and participatory data ecosystems reinforce democratic legitimacy and citizen trust in governance. In addition, this study contributes to the development of evaluative frameworks that allow policymakers to measure the success and societal impact of OGD initiatives. The study's findings are expected to assist governments in designing strategies that transform OGD from passive information disclosure into active instruments of innovation. From an academic standpoint, it enriches the literature by reconciling fragmented perspectives into a cohesive understanding of the OGD–innovation nexus. From a practical perspective, it provides actionable recommendations for policymakers, particularly in developing economies, to harness digital governance tools effectively. The ultimate significance of this research lies in its potential to shape policy paradigms where openness and innovation coexist as core elements of sustainable governance. Through these contributions, the study reinforces the central argument that open data is not an end in itself but a foundation for transformative and inclusive policy evolution.

METHOD

This study employs a literature review method to explore and synthesize the evolving relationship between open government data (OGD) and policy innovation in the context of digital governance. The approach aims to collect, analyze, and interpret existing academic contributions to build a comprehensive understanding of how OGD facilitates innovation in public sector policies.

The review systematically identifies relevant peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and institutional reports from recognized academic databases such as Scopus, ScienceDirect, and SpringerLink. The selection process follows a structured

set of inclusion criteria, focusing on studies that examine the implementation, outcomes, and conceptual frameworks of OGD in public administration. Articles published in English within the last decade are prioritized to ensure that the findings reflect recent developments in digital governance practices. The review process includes three main stages: identification of literature, screening and eligibility evaluation, and thematic synthesis of findings. During the identification stage, keywords such as "open government data," "digital governance," "policy innovation," and "public sector transformation" are used to locate relevant studies. In the screening phase, duplicates, non-academic publications, and articles lacking conceptual or methodological relevance are excluded.

The eligibility assessment ensures that only studies addressing OGD as a mechanism for governance innovation are retained. The synthesis process adopts a thematic analysis approach to categorize findings based on conceptual patterns, technological enablers, institutional factors, and societal impacts. The purpose of this synthesis is to identify recurring themes and research gaps that define the current state of knowledge in this domain. The analysis also maps the theoretical frameworks commonly used to explain the relationship between data openness and innovation dynamics in governance. The review emphasizes conceptual clarity and integrative reasoning over statistical generalization, as its intent is to advance theoretical understanding rather than empirical testing.

The data extracted from selected studies are analyzed qualitatively to develop a conceptual model that links the core variables identified throughout the review. This conceptualization highlights the mechanisms by which OGD drives innovation in policy processes, emphasizing the role of digital technologies, stakeholder participation, and institutional readiness. The overall methodology ensures both rigor and transparency in the review process. The outcome of this approach is a structured synthesis that clarifies how existing knowledge supports or contradicts the theoretical premise that OGD serves as a catalyst for policy innovation in digital governance systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Open Government Data as a Strategic Enabler of Policy Innovation

Open government data (OGD) functions as a strategic enabler that transforms public administration from a closed, reactive system into a dynamic, evidence-driven governance model. Governments that systematically release high-quality, machine-readable datasets empower policymakers to design adaptive policies based on real-time information and measurable outcomes. The accessibility of open data encourages public institutions to rely less on assumptions and more on verified empirical insights in decision-making. By using OGD as a foundation for analysis, governments enhance their ability to identify social patterns, anticipate challenges, and develop innovative policy interventions. The integration of OGD into governance structures strengthens the connection between transparency and innovation, turning data disclosure into a continuous learning mechanism for policy improvement. The open release of information also enhances interdepartmental coordination, enabling data-driven collaboration across ministries and agencies. This creates a feedback environment where policies are constantly evaluated, adjusted, and refined according to updated datasets and public feedback. OGD stimulates creativity within public organizations by allowing cross-sectoral actors to reinterpret government data in ways that lead to new solutions and services. The availability of shared information facilitates co-creation processes, where ideas from citizens, researchers, and private institutions converge to produce innovative policy outcomes. Through this mechanism, governments cultivate a culture of openness that strengthens accountability while fostering experimentation. The strategic use of OGD also encourages evidence-based budgeting and performance evaluation, ensuring that policy innovation is guided by measurable efficiency and social impact. Digital governance platforms that integrate OGD enable real-time monitoring, predictive

modelling, and early identification of emerging issues. These capacities allow governments to act pre-emptively rather than reactively, achieving higher agility in policy response. Open data becomes a cornerstone of digital-era governance that merges transparency, participation, and innovation into a single framework. The transformation of OGD from a compliance requirement into a strategic governance resource marks a significant step toward sustainable and citizen-centered policy innovation.

Institutional Capacity and Digital Infrastructure as Key Mediators

Institutional capacity and digital infrastructure emerge as decisive mediators in translating open government data (OGD) initiatives into meaningful policy innovation. The ability of a government to leverage open data depends not only on the availability of information but also on the competence, resources, and organizational readiness of its institutions. Institutions with clear mandates, strong leadership, and data-driven cultures are better equipped to transform open data into innovative governance practices. Digital infrastructure serves as the technological backbone that supports data collection, integration, and analysis across agencies. When institutions possess interoperable systems and reliable technological networks, the process of turning data into actionable policy insights becomes faster, more accurate, and more inclusive. A robust institutional framework also ensures that open data policies are implemented consistently across different administrative levels. Skilled human resources play an essential role in interpreting datasets, designing evidence-based policies, and managing the ethical implications of data usage. Without institutional expertise and stable infrastructure, open data risks remaining a symbolic gesture rather than an operational reality.

The capacity to coordinate across departments determines whether OGD initiatives lead to innovation or stagnate due to bureaucratic fragmentation. Digital platforms that facilitate collaboration between government units and external stakeholders enhance the fluidity of data sharing and accelerate innovation cycles.

Institutional adaptability allows organizations to continuously learn from feedback, integrating new technologies and methodologies into governance processes. A mature digital infrastructure also minimizes inefficiencies by automating repetitive tasks, improving transparency, and reducing data duplication. The institutionalization of open data practices requires well-defined governance mechanisms, accountability frameworks, and long-term investment in technology and capacity-building. Governments with forward-looking institutional designs are more capable of embedding innovation within their policy systems. The synergy between institutional capability and digital infrastructure creates an enabling environment where data openness translates into strategic intelligence.

This combination not only increases administrative efficiency but also strengthens public trust through transparent and effective governance. Policy innovation thrives where institutions and digital systems operate in harmony, creating an ecosystem in which open data drives continuous learning, responsiveness, and sustainable public value.

Stakeholder Co-Creation and Collaboration as Catalysts for Innovation

Stakeholder co-creation and collaboration represent powerful catalysts that amplify the transformative potential of open government data (OGD) in policy innovation. The effectiveness of OGD is significantly enhanced when governments create participatory environments that engage diverse actors such as citizens, academia, private enterprises, and civil society organizations. These collaborative ecosystems convert static data into dynamic resources for collective problem-solving and public value creation. Co-creation enables the exchange of ideas and expertise, allowing multiple stakeholders to jointly identify policy priorities and design innovative interventions.

Governments that open decision-making processes to external contributors benefit

from a wider range of insights, experiences, and analytical capabilities.

This participatory dynamic fosters shared ownership of policy outcomes, thereby enhancing legitimacy and accountability in governance. The active involvement of citizens in interpreting and reusing public data transforms them from passive recipients into co-producers of knowledge and solutions. Collaborative networks built around OGD strengthen trust and transparency because they promote open dialogue between public authorities and communities. These partnerships also accelerate innovation by linking the technical capacities of private sectors with the normative goals of public institutions. Through hackathons, innovation labs, and open data challenges, governments stimulate creative experimentation that yields digital tools and policy prototypes addressing complex social problems.

The culture of collaboration nurtures continuous learning and adaptability, which are essential for sustaining innovation in the fast-evolving landscape of digital governance. Co-creation further supports the development of inclusive policies by ensuring that marginalized voices contribute to the decision-making process. It also facilitates cross-sectoral integration, aligning economic, social, and environmental objectives within a single governance framework. Effective collaboration transforms OGD from a transparency mechanism into an innovation ecosystem that continuously evolves through feedback, iteration, and knowledge sharing.

This participatory governance model encourages experimentation and risk-taking while maintaining accountability and ethical oversight. Stakeholder co-creation underpinned by open data fosters a governance paradigm that is more democratic, agile, and capable of generating sustainable public innovations.

Barriers and Constraints in OGD Implementation

Despite the growing adoption of open government data (OGD) initiatives worldwide, significant barriers and constraints continue to hinder their effective implementation and impact on policy innovation. Many governments face structural limitations in data quality, completeness, and interoperability, which reduce the usability and reliability of released datasets. Inconsistent data formats, outdated information, and lack of metadata often prevent policymakers, researchers, and citizens from extracting meaningful insights. Institutional fragmentation and siloed data management practices further obstruct the seamless flow of information across government departments. The absence of standardized governance frameworks weakens coordination and accountability in OGD implementation. Cultural resistance within public institutions remains a persistent obstacle, as some officials perceive data openness as a threat to authority or as an additional administrative burden. Limited awareness and digital literacy among both government employees and citizens also constrain the potential for innovation derived from OGD. Inadequate technical infrastructure, particularly in developing economies, results in slow data processing and poor accessibility for end users. Privacy concerns and data security risks create additional hesitation in releasing sensitive information, especially in sectors like health, finance, and defense. The lack of clear legal guidelines for data ownership, sharing, and reuse often leads to confusion and reluctance in publishing government datasets. Financial constraints and insufficient political commitment further undermine the continuity of OGD programs, turning many initiatives into short-term projects rather than sustainable reforms. The limited evaluation of outcomes and weak feedback mechanisms make it difficult to measure the real impact of OGD on policy innovation. When barriers remain unaddressed, open data platforms risk becoming symbolic transparency tools instead of functional instruments for public transformation. Overcoming these challenges requires an integrated approach that combines institutional reform, capacity building, and technology investment. Governments must foster a culture of openness that views data as a shared asset rather than a controlled resource. Addressing these barriers is critical to transforming OGD from a technical exercise

into a catalyst for adaptive, transparent, and innovative policymaking.

Theoretical Integration and Future Research Directions

The final finding emphasizes the necessity of theoretical integration and the identification of future research directions to strengthen the conceptual and empirical foundation of open government data (OGD) studies within digital governance. Current scholarship remains fragmented, with different disciplines addressing OGD from technological, administrative, or sociopolitical perspectives without a unified theoretical framework. This fragmentation has resulted in limited explanatory power regarding how open data initiatives lead to sustained policy innovation. The field requires an integrated model that captures the interdependence between institutional structures, technological capacities, and citizen engagement mechanisms. Developing such a model will enable researchers to move beyond descriptive studies and establish predictive relationships between data openness and innovation outcomes. Future research must also explore how contextual variables such as governance culture, regulatory environments, and public sector maturity mediate the success of OGD initiatives. Cross-country comparative analyses are needed to reveal how institutional diversity shapes the adoption and effectiveness of open data policies. Researchers should investigate the long-term impacts of OGD on administrative reform, public trust, and social equity to assess whether innovation translates into inclusive and sustainable governance. Interdisciplinary collaboration between data science, political science, and public administration will help to conceptualize OGD as both a technological and institutional phenomenon. Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and machine learning present new opportunities to enhance the transparency, interoperability, and analytical power of open data systems. Future studies must also consider the ethical, privacy, and accountability challenges associated with these tools. Theoretical advancements should aim to connect micro-level user behaviors with macro-level policy transformations, forming a holistic understanding of digital-era governance. Scholars are encouraged to develop evaluative frameworks that measure innovation outcomes not only in efficiency terms but also in democratic and social value creation. Future research should build a coherent body of theory that explains how OGD evolves from a transparency mechanism into a structural driver of institutional learning and continuous innovation.

The analyze how open government data (OGD) operates as a strategic lever that converts transparency into policy innovation by juxtaposing strands of prior scholarship and highlighting their convergences and tensions. I align this finding with the value-creation view that treats OGD as an innovation input that enables new solutions and services, arguing that governments generate innovation externalities when they release machine-readable datasets and nurture reuse ecosystems (Jetzek, Avital, & Bjørn-Andersen, 2014). I contrast that position with studies that foreground organizational frictions, contending that innovation emerges only when administrations build capabilities to overcome adoption barriers and convert raw openness into actionable intelligence (Janssen, Charalabidis, & van Eijk, 2012). I extend comparative policy work that frames open data policies as multi-level interventions, maintaining that policy innovation materializes where coherent rules, performance goals, and stakeholder incentives align across tiers of government (Zuiderwijk & Janssen, 2014). I argue that this alignment reframes OGD from a passive disclosure regime into an active design principle for governance workflows, budget scrutiny, and outcome evaluation. I further claim that the innovation payoffs increase when agencies embed feedback loops that iteratively couple data publication with policy pilots and ex-post learning. I maintain that interagency interoperability and data stewardship transform isolated datasets into shared analytical assets that support timely regulatory adjustments. I propose that OGD reshapes problem sensing by enabling real-time monitoring and predictive targeting of

emergent risks, which, in turn, accelerates experimental policy cycles. I observe that co-production with civic technologists and firms raises the diversity of solution pathways while disciplining policy choices through public traceability. I conclude that OGD functions as a strategic enabler only when governments pair openness with institutional capacity, data governance, and collaborative arenas that channel reuse toward measurable public value, thereby reconciling transparency rationales with innovation outcomes (Jetzek et al., 2014; Janssen et al., 2012; Zuiderwijk & Janssen, 2014).

The second finding, which posits that institutional capacity and digital infrastructure serve as critical mediators in realizing policy innovation via open government data (OGD), aligns closely with prior empirical and theoretical work but also advances the argument by emphasizing interaction effects and boundary conditions. Existing research often isolates institutional elements such as governance frameworks or analytical capacity as discrete antecedents (e.g., Mu, 2024) yet does not always examine how they must co-evolve with robust infrastructure to produce innovation. Comparatively, studies of "smart city" and digital governance show that infrastructure alone is insufficient governance capacity must channel infrastructure's capabilities into meaningful policy design (Wang et al., 2024). On the other hand, analyses of institutional dimensions in OGD suggest that legislative support, strategic coordination, and normative legitimacy are necessary preconditions for transformation (Bernot et al., 2024). My finding integrates these strands by proposing that without institutional alignment including leadership, cross-unit coordination, and data culture even the most advanced infrastructure may remain underutilized. I argue that the mediating role is not merely additive but multiplicative: when capacity and infrastructure strengthen one another, OGD is more likely to drive creative, adaptive policy change rather than isolated pilots. This contrasts with literature that treats capacity and infrastructure in silos, and instead suggests they must be jointly developed to unlock innovation. I further contend that in contexts of weak infrastructure, institutional reforms bear limited fruit, and conversely in low-capacity settings, infrastructure investment fails to yield policy innovation. By juxtaposing these insights, my finding refocuses attention on the synergy and co-dependency between organizational readiness and technical backbone as gatekeepers of successful OGD-driven governance innovation.

The analysis of this finding reinforces that stakeholder co-creation serves as a central mechanism through which open government data (OGD) generates policy innovation, aligning with and extending existing scholarship. Prior studies demonstrate that multi-actor collaboration around open data enhances knowledge exchange and accelerates collective problem-solving (Attard, Orlandi, Scerri, & Auer, 2015). My analysis advances this by emphasizing that co-creation transforms policy innovation from a government-led activity into a distributed process that involves citizens, academia, and the private sector as equal contributors. Evidence from empirical reviews indicates that open data ecosystems yield the highest value when collaboration becomes institutionalized through digital platforms and shared governance norms (Ruijer, Détienne, Baker, Groff, & Meijer, 2020). Compared with earlier conceptualizations that viewed open data primarily as a transparency mechanism, this finding underscores the relational and participatory nature of innovation enabled by data reuse. It highlights that sustained innovation emerges when governments design digital infrastructures that allow stakeholders to access, analyze, and co-develop solutions. I argue that collaboration strengthens legitimacy because policies co-produced through participatory data use reflect collective priorities rather than administrative preferences. This view contrasts with technocratic interpretations that privilege data availability over stakeholder inclusion. The alignment of co-creation with innovation outcomes also depends on incentives, trust, and interoperability among actors in the ecosystem. By synthesizing these perspectives, my analysis suggests that stakeholder collaboration, grounded in OGD,

establishes a continuous learning loop that sustains adaptive, transparent, and inclusive policymaking. It redefines public innovation as an ecosystemic process in which knowledge, technology, and participation converge to generate enduring governance value.

I analyze OGD barriers as systemic constraints that span technical quality, organizational routines, and political incentives. I argue that decentralized storage, fragmented ownership, and limited curation degrade data quality and frustrate reuse even when portals exist (Conradie & Choenni, 2014). I maintain that non-standard formats and weak interoperability block cross-agency analytics that would otherwise feed policy design (Zuiderwijk & Janssen, 2014). I contend that risk aversion and agenda control shape selective disclosure practices that privilege low-salience datasets over those with high accountability value (Bates, 2012). I observe that thin documentation and scarce metadata inflate the transaction costs for external users and internal analysts. I note that unclear product ownership and absent service-level expectations prevent lifecycle management of datasets. I argue that procurement lock-in and rigid platforms inhibit iterative improvement and hinder feedback integration. I stress that capacity gaps in stewardship and applied data science convert openness into passive publication rather than active intelligence. I point out that privacy-by-design and ethical review often default to over-redaction, which suppresses innovation opportunities without proportionate risk analysis. I conclude that governments must treat OGD as a managed public data product standardized, discoverable, and co-maintained with users so barriers recede as governance, technology, and incentives align (Conradie & Choenni, 2014; Zuiderwijk & Janssen, 2014; Bates, 2012).

The final analysis situates the need for theoretical integration within the broader evolution of open government data (OGD) research, emphasizing how conceptual fragmentation limits explanatory power and practical application. Earlier work proposed that open data operates as a socio-technical system, requiring the alignment of technical architectures with governance models to generate public value (Harrison, Pardo, & Cook, 2012). My analysis extends this by asserting that integrating institutional theory, innovation theory, and information systems perspectives can clarify how OGD transforms from transparency mechanisms into systemic innovation drivers. Empirical syntheses indicate that the field has matured from descriptive case studies toward analytical frameworks that link openness with accountability, participation, and innovation (Hossain, Dwivedi, & Rana, 2016). Inconsistencies in definitions, measurement, and theoretical assumptions continue to fragment scholarly understanding. I argue that future research must move beyond isolated case-based inquiry and adopt comparative, cross-national designs to capture the contextual diversity of OGD implementation. Researchers should embed emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and blockchain into theoretical models to explain evolving data governance ecosystems. This approach supports the development of hybrid theories capable of integrating digital infrastructures, human behavior, and institutional adaptation. My analysis further suggests that collaboration among disciplines public administration, data science, and political communication is essential to advance coherent frameworks that predict innovation outcomes. By synthesizing these insights, the study positions OGD research within a new paradigm of reflexive governance, where openness and innovation reinforce each other through continuous learning and adaptive policymaking.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that open government data (OGD) serves as a transformative catalyst for policy innovation within the framework of digital governance. The analysis demonstrates that when governments institutionalize openness and integrate data-driven practices, they strengthen transparency, accountability, and public trust. I find that OGD acts not only as an informational asset but also as a strategic mechanism that reshapes how policies are designed, implemented, and evaluated.

Governments that adopt a proactive approach to data management create an environment conducive to experimentation, collaboration, and continuous learning. Institutional capacity and digital infrastructure emerge as vital foundations that mediate the success of OGD initiatives by enabling the conversion of raw information into actionable insights. Stakeholder collaboration and co-creation play a decisive role in amplifying innovation outcomes by incorporating diverse expertise, fostering inclusivity, and enhancing legitimacy in policymaking. Despite its potential, OGD implementation continues to face challenges related to data quality, interoperability, and organizational resistance. Addressing these barriers requires long-term commitment, governance reform, and sustained investment in human capital and digital systems. The study underscores the importance of viewing OGD as a dynamic ecosystem that evolves through feedback loops and adaptive policy processes. I assert that the most innovative governments are those that treat data openness as an iterative process rather than a one-time reform. OGD enables policy agility by allowing decision-makers to respond rapidly to social and economic changes through real-time analytics. It also strengthens democratic participation by giving citizens direct access to information that affects their lives. The findings suggest that innovation thrives when governments establish a culture of openness rooted in trust, collaboration, and data literacy. Future governance models must therefore prioritize data stewardship and ethical use to ensure that innovation aligns with societal values. I conclude that OGD has matured from a transparency initiative into a strategic driver of institutional transformation and digital-era governance. Its ultimate value lies in its ability to generate sustainable innovation, improve public service performance, and reinforce the legitimacy of democratic governance systems. By embedding OGD into the core of policymaking, governments can build more resilient, responsive, and participatory institutions capable of addressing complex challenges in an increasingly data-driven world.

REFERENCES

Attard, J., Orlandi, F., Scerri, S., & Auer, S. (2015). A systematic review of open government data initiatives. *Government Information Quarterly, 32*(4), 399–418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.07.006

Bates, J. (2012). "This is what modern deregulation looks like": Co-optation and contestation in the shaping of the UK's open government data initiative. *Journal of Community Informatics, 8*(2). https://doi.org/10.15353/joci.v8i2.3038

Bernot, A. (2024). Institutional Dimensions in Open Government Data. *Journal of Public Affairs*. https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2024.2377609

Conradie, P., & Choenni, S. (2014). On the barriers for local government releasing open data. Government Information Quarterly, 31(S1), S10–S17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2014.01.003

Dawes, S. S. (2010). Stewardship and usefulness: Policy principles for information-based transparency. Government Information Quarterly, 27(4), 377–383.

Digital Inclusion and Institutional Effectiveness in E-Governance review (2025). Scoping review on digital inclusion and institutional effectiveness in e-governance for SDG delivery in the Global South.

Giest, S. (2025). Digital & data-driven transformations in governance: A landscape review. Data & Policy.

Gottfried, A., Hartmann, C., & Yates, D. (2021). Mining open government data for business intelligence using data visualization: A two-industry case study. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 16*(4), 1042–1065. https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer16040059

Harrison, T. M., Pardo, T. A., & Cook, M. (2012). Creating open government ecosystems: A research and development agenda. *Future Internet, 4*(4), 900–928. https://doi.org/10.3390/fi4040900

Hossain, M. A., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Rana, N. P. (2016). State-of-the-art in open data research: Insights from existing literature and a research agenda. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce, 26*(1–2), 14–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2015.1124007

Janssen, M., Charalabidis, Y., & van Eijk, A. (2012). Benefits, adoption barriers and myths of open data and open government. *Information Systems Management, 29*(4), 258–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2012.716740

Jetzek, T., Avital, M., & Bjorn-Andersen, N. (2014). Data-driven innovation through open government data. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 9*(2), 100–120. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-18762014000200008

Kassen, M. (2018). Understanding transparency and open government data ecosystems. *Government Information Quarterly, 35*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2018.01.003

Kitchin, R. (2014). *The Data Revolution: Big Data, Open Data, Data Infrastructures & Their Consequences*. SAGE.

Liu, H., Zhou, Q., & Liang, S. (2025). Digital inclusion in public services for vulnerable groups: A systematic review for research themes and goal-action framework from the lens of public service ecosystem theory. *Government Information Quarterly*, *42*(2), 102019.

McBride, K., Aavik, G., Toots, M., & Krimmer, R. (2019). How to close open government: The case of data intermediaries. *Information Polity, 24*(3), 295–310.

Meijer, A., Curtin, D., & Hillebrandt, M. (2012). Open government: Connecting vision and voice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences, 78*(1), 10–29. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852311429533

Mu, R., & Zhao, X. (2024). How do institutional dimensions of open government data affect innovation? Evidence from research institutes in China. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*.

Noveck, B. S. (2015). *Smart Citizens, Smarter State: The Technologies of Expertise and the Future of Governing*. Harvard University Press.

OECD. (2018). *Open Government Data Report: Enhancing Data Re-use for Public Sector Innovation*. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2023). *2023 Open, Useful and Re-usable data (OURdata) Index: Results and Key Findings (OECD Public Governance Policy Papers, No. 43)*. https://doi.org/10.1787/a37f51c3-en

Park, S., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. (2022). Open data innovation: Visualizations and process redesign as a way to bridge the transparency–accountability gap. *Government Information Quarterly, 39*(1), 101456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2020.101456

Peeters, R., Miller, S. M., & Schuilenburg, M. (2025). Digital government inclusion: Exploring strategies for inclusive government automation. *Government Information Quarterly*, *42*(2), 102028.

Ruijer, E., Détienne, F., Baker, M., Groff, J., & Meijer, A. (2020). The politics of open government data: Understanding organizational responses to pressure for more transparency. *The American Review of Public Administration, 50*(4–5), 360–374.

Ruijer, E., Grimmelikhuijsen, S., & Meijer, A. (2017). Open data for democracy: Developing a theoretical framework for open data use. *Government Information Quarterly, 34*(1), 45–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2017.01.001

Safarov, I., Meijer, A., & Grimmelikhuijsen, S. (2017). Utilization of open government data: A systematic literature review of types, conditions, effects, and users. *Government Information Quarterly, 34*(2), 270–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2017.01.003

Ubaldi, B. (2013). *Open Government Data: Towards Empirical Analysis of Open Government Data Initiatives (OECD Working Papers on Public Governance, No. 22)*. OECD Publishing.

https://doi.org/10.1787/5k46bj4f03s7-en

UN Public Institutions. (2025). *Open Government Data and Services*. Retrieved from; https://publicadministration.desa.un.org/topics/digital-government/ogd

Vu, T. D., et al. (2022). Open government data: A systematic literature review of empirical research. *Electronic Markets, 32*, 2381–2404. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00582-8

Wang, R., Xu, C. K., & Wu, X. (2024). Open Government Data (OGD) as a catalyst for smart city development: Empirical evidence from Chinese cities. *Government Information Quarterly*, *41*(4), 101983.

Welle Donker, F., & van Loenen, B. (2016). How to assess the success of the open data ecosystem? *International Journal of Digital Earth, 10*(3), 284–306. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2016.1224938

Wirtz, B. W., & Birkmeyer, S. (2018). Open government: Origin, development, and conceptual perspectives. *International Journal of Public Administration, 41*(1), 1–16.

Yan, R., Xing, C., Chen, X., & Zhao, Y. (2023). Is it real or illusory? An empirical examination of the impact of open government data on innovation capability in the case of China. *Technology in Society, 75*, 102396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2023.102396

Zuiderwijk, A., & Janssen, M. (2014). *Barriers and development directions for the publication and usage of open data: A socio-technical view*. Springer.

Zuiderwijk, A., Shinde, R., & Janssen, M. (2019). Investigating the attainment of open government data objectives: is there a mismatch between objectives and results?. *International review of administrative sciences*, *85*(4), 645-672. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852317739115